# Intel ISEF Affiliated Fair Judging Guidelines

Awards Judging is conducted using a 100-point scale with points assigned to creative ability, scientific thought or engineering goals (II a and b respectively), thoroughness, skill, and clarity. Team projects have a slightly different balance of points that includes points for teamwork. Following is a list of questions for each criteria that can assist you in interviewing the finalists and aid in your evaluation of the finalists' projects.

# L. Creative Ability (Individual - 30, Team - 25)

- 1. Does the project show creative ability and originality in the questions asked?
- 2. Creative approach to solving the problem, the analysis of the data, the interpretation of the data? The use of equipment, the construction or design of new equipment?
- 3. Creative research should support an investigation and help answer a question in an original way.
- 4. A creative contribution promotes an efficient and reliable method for solving a problem. When evaluating projects, it is important to distinguish between gadgeteering and ingenuity.

# Scientific Thought/Engineering Goals (Individual - 30, Team - 25)

If an engineering project, the more appropriate questions are those found in IIb. Engineering Goals.

# a. Scientific Thought

- 1. Is the problem stated clearly and unambiguously?
- 2. Was the problem sufficiently limited to allow a plausible approach? Good scientists can identify important problems capable of solutions.
- 3. Was there a procedural plan for obtaining a solution?
- 4. Are the variables clearly recognized and defined?
- 5. If controls were necessary, did the student recognize their need and were they correctly used?
- 6. Are there adequate data to support the conclusions?
- 7. Does the finalist or team recognize the data's limitations?
- 8. Does the finalist/team understand the project's ties to related research?
- 9. Does the finalist/team have an idea of what further research is warranted?
- 10. Did the finalist/team cite scientific literature, or only popular literature (local newspapers, Reader's Digest).

#### b. Engineering Goals

- 0. Does the project have a clear objective?
- 1. Is the objective relevant to the potential user's needs?
- 2. Is the solution workable, acceptable to the potential user, economically feasible?
- 3. Could the solution be utilized successfully in design or construction of an end product?
- 4. Is the solution a significant improvement over previous alternatives?
- 5. Has the solution been tested for performance under the conditions of use?

# III. Thoroughness (Individual - 15, Team - 12)

- 0. Was the purpose carried out to completion within the scope of the original intent?
- 1. How completely was the problem covered?
- 2. Are the conclusions based on a single experiment or replication?
- 3. How complete are the project notes?
- 4. Is the finalist/team aware of other approaches or theories?
- 5. How much time did the finalist or team spend on the project?
- 6. Is the finalist/team familiar with scientific literature in the studied field?

# IV. Skill (Individual - 15, Team - 12)

- 0. Does the finalist/team have the required laboratory, computation, observational and design skills to obtain supporting data?
- 1. Where was the project performed? (home, school laboratory, university laboratory) Did the student or team receive assistance from parents, teachers, scientists, or engineers?
- 2. Was the project completed under adult supervision, or did the student/team work largely alone?
- 3. Where did the equipment come from? Was it built independently by the finalist or team? Was it obtained on loan? Was it part of a laboratory where the finalist or team worked?

# V. Clarity (Individual - 10, Team - 10)

- 0. How clearly does the finalist discuss the project and explain the purpose, procedure, and conclusions? Watch out for memorized speeches that reflect little understanding of principles.
- 1. Does the written material reflect the finalist's or team's understanding of the research?
- 2. Are the important phases of the project presented in an orderly manner?
- 3. How clearly is the data presented?
- 4. How clearly are the results presented?
- 5. How well does the project display explain the project?
- 6. Was the presentation done in a forthright manner, without tricks or gadgets?
- 7. Did the finalist/team perform all the project work, or did someone help?

# VI. Teamwork (Team Projects only- 16)

- 0. Are the tasks and contributions of each team member clearly outlined?
- 1. Was each team member fully involved with the project, and is each member familiar with all aspects?
- 2. Does the final work reflect the coordinated efforts of all team members?